

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/445,640                | 05/08/2000    | SIMON ROBERT WARD        | D-42978-01          | 1191            |
| 75                        | 90 08/23/2002 |                          |                     |                 |
| MARK B QUATT              |               |                          | EXAMINER            |                 |
| CRYOVAC INC<br>PO BOX 464 |               |                          | KIM, EUGENE LEE     |                 |
| DUNCAN, SC 29334          |               |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                           |               |                          | 3721                | <u> </u>        |
|                           |               | DATE MAIL ED: 08/23/2002 |                     |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Applicant(s)  |              |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 09/445,640 WARD ET AL.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Eugene Kim 3721   |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr<br>Priod for Reply   | 'ess         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | munication.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2002.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims  | merits is .  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 25-31 and 34-36 is/are pending in the application.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-31 and 34-36</u> is/are rejected.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   | •            |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |              |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National St application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  | tage         |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a  | pplication). |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11.   |              |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/445,640

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 25-30, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melville (#5,816,990) in view of Ginestra et al. Melville shows the method and apparatus of making bags by using a cutting and sealing means (fig 10). Melville also discloses the use of controls to measure the length of the article to be packaged to adjust the bag making operation size. Melville also discloses that a bag is made and ready for removal (col 11 lines 25+). This reads on the dispensing means as claimed. Melville does not show the concept of using different supply webs to accommodate different sized articles as claimed. Ginestra et al teach the concept of using a plurality of different film supplies with different widths or textures (col 4 lines 20+). Ginestra et al teach the concept of measuring a product and selecting the appropriate web supply based on the products size. Ginestra et al disclose cutting the sheet of web material and a processor cuts the sheet from a selected roll to select a film length. Ginestra et al also show nip rollers 36-39. Fixed or reciprocal parts, such as, nip rollers are known in the art for adjustability purposes. The input means are set up in the control system to select a web based upon the users input. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Melville with plural web and control

Application/Control Number: 09/445,640

Art Unit: 3721

means as taught by Ginestra et al to expedite the manufacturing process to accommodate different sized products. The examiner notes that heat shrinkable film is well known in the art.

Page 3

3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melville in view of Ginestra et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Crowley. Melville in view of Ginestra et al do not show the printing means as claimed. Crowley teaches the basic concept of using a printer for printing onto flat film with the printing device moving the web. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Melville in view of Ginestra with printing means as taught by Crowley to provide information on the film web.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Kim whose telephone number is (703)308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 a.m - 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Cugene 2